

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

**FILED**

2008 APR -2 PM 4: 27

TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☒ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
 FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk  
 CASE NO.: 07cv2183 DMS (RBB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner  
 CASE TITLE: Cunningham v. Marshall  
 DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Request for Extension of Time, Complete Traverse

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED DATE: 3/24/2008

BY: Petitioner DEPUTY

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule | Discrepancy   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 5.1                   | Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 5.3                   | Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 5.4                   | <b>Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.</b> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1           | Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1           | Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1           | Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 7.1                   | Missing table of contents   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 15.1                  | Amended pleading not complete in itself   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 30.1                  | Depositions not accepted absent a court order                                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                       | Supplemental documents require court order  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                       | Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest                      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X          | <b><u>OTHER: Request for extension denied on 3/21/2008 (Docket #22).</u></b>      |

Date forwarded: 3/24/2008

**ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.
- Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: RBB

Dated: 4/1/2008  
cc: All Parties

By: [Signature]

1 of 2

**REJECTED**

JAMES H. CUNNINGHAM  
California MEN'S COLONY State Prison  
SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93409-8101  
\* Pro Pet \*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NO. 07cv2183 DMS(RBB)

JAMES H. CUNNINGHAM  
Petitioner:

V.

JOHN MARSHALL Respondent:

REQUEST:

NOTICE: for Pursuant of  
EXTENSION for  
COMPLETE TRAVERSE

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE DANA M. SABRAW: ON CIVIL DOCKET  
Document Number: 5 Docket Text: OPPOSITION to Motion due  
2-25-08 was mail on the 20<sup>th</sup> of february. TRAVERSE by Petitioner  
due 3-31-2008. Petitioner humbles the court for a EXTENSION.  
IN Petitioners Pursuant for time and SEEKING legal assistance  
from the institution, within the Process is presently in motion the  
offering could last up to thirty days in route Extension is well  
NEED. Petitioner is also awaiting his Response for Petitioner (Jury Vor dire  
INmate Griffant is NO longer able to be tentative to Petitioner's  
Legal Obligations. Petitioner has confronted Prison staff since OPPOSITION.  
HERE you sit in front TEAM to make an inquiry or investigation about  
Your mental-health or condition of.